



League

Sentinel

"AIMING FOR THE TRUTH"


The Newsletter of The League of St George

ISSUE No 56

Comradeship, Loyalty and Honour

By Subscription Only

DANGER



ASYLUM SEEKERS

Editorial

Winter 2003

It's Not Cricket, You Know

Much discussion has taken place over whether or not a team of multi-racial cricket players, playing in the name of England, should go and play cricket in the Marxist dictatorship of Zimbabwe. The former British colony, once known as Rhodesia, is now ruled by a Marxist despot, Robert Mugabe, who took power in 1980 with the connivance of the then Conservative government, strongly supported by the Labour opposition. Since then, Mugabe's Marxists have been ethnically cleansing white Rhodesian farmers and are currently attempting to starve and bully the rest of the population into total submission.

It is not a cricket team that we need to send out to Zimbabwe. A fully armed regiment of Paras would be far more beneficial and effective!

Banning Guns Is Not The Answer

As someone who spent most of his National Service either carrying or cleaning a gun, I for one cannot see the attraction in owning one. But it would seem that in some sections of the black community guns are being carried and used on an ever-increasing scale. As usual, the government has failed to act decisively. Most gun crimes seem to be committed by black criminals living in our inner cities. Two simple measures would resolve the problem

Firstly, deport those caught carrying guns. This measure would be additionally beneficial in reducing the amount of drugs dealers on our streets.

Secondly, bring back capital punishment and hang the thugs that kill innocent people.

Yes, you know it would work!

Foxhunting and Cruelty

The media and politicians have been captivated by the debate on whether or not to ban hunting with dogs. The "antis" have made a great deal over the suffering of foxes. But is it not strange that not one part of the anti-cruelty media, and none of the politicians, has sought to ban the cruel practice of ritual slaughter? Both the Muslim *halal* and the Jewish *shechita* methods of killing animals are the cruellest acts of slaughter in this animal-loving country of ours. And yet no one dares say anything. It involves slitting the throats of conscious animals so they bleed to death. This can take up to three or four minutes.

Vociferous anti-hunt supporters claim that hunters kill about 13,000 foxes each year. But around 600,000 domestic animals are ritually slaughtered and nothing is said of this cruelty.

Proving that in multi-cultural Britain, ethnic minorities are the best-protected species of all!

Contents

Issue 56

- 3 **Danger -- Asylum Seekers!**
- 4 **News From Around Britain: Blair to stop boycott of Israeli academics**
- 5 **News From Around Britain: British National Party wins fifth seat**
- 6 **When Being English Caused Offence**
- 8 **The Revolutionary Stormtrooper**
- 10 **Overseas News: Race riots erupt in Antwerp**
- 11 **Overseas News: Germans condemn Churchill as a war criminal**
- 12 **Yet Another View of the Middle East Crisis**
- 13 **Hang These Perverts**
- 14 **How a Jewish Turd Heralds the Messiah**

League Sentinel is printed and published by League Enterprises on behalf of the League of St George. Permission to reprint articles or to distribute them through any other medium must be obtained in advance. Acknowledgement of origin must be given to *League Sentinel*. All correspondence must be addressed to ... League Enterprises, 27 Old Gloucester Street, London WC1N 3XX



DANGER - ASYLUM SEEKERS!

The threat to Britain and its people from the impotent and naïve asylum policies of this government was starkly realised with the murder of a police officer by a member of a gang of North African asylum seekers in Manchester recently. Although this murder and the discovery of another group of asylum seekers operating a factory manufacturing the deadly poison ricin in North London grabbed the media headlines, both the government and the media have largely ignored the threat to our nation from bogus refugees and asylum seekers.

The discovery by police of a group of four Algerian asylum seekers manufacturing the deadly poison ricin in a flat in Wood Green, North London, was only the tip of the iceberg as far as the threat posed by asylum seekers to Britain is concerned. Just a couple of miles away in Hornsey police are still investigating a series of gun battles that have taken place in the street involving gangs of drug-dealing Kurdish refugees.

In August last year a teenage schoolboy was homosexually raped by a three-man gang of Eastern European asylum seekers in a field in Gillingham, Kent.

Also in August it was discovered that in parts of our capital city the rates of tuberculosis were higher than China and twice as high as Brazil! Over 7,000 new cases of TB were reported in Britain in the last year. Of these, 3000 were in London.

When challenged, a government source confirmed that forty-three NHS districts in Britain had above average levels of TB. A London-based spokesman from the World Health Organisation confirmed that one in six new immigrants to Britain are either infected or carriers of the fatal disease.


In October, a national newspaper revealed that asylum seekers from AIDS devastated African countries were largely responsible for a twenty-five percent increase in HIV cases in the last year. The total of new cases is expected to reach almost 6,000 this year, double the number of five years ago. More than seventy percent of heterosexual HIV sufferers were immigrants from sub-Saharan Africa. Immigration officers working at our ports and airports called on the government to introduce compulsory screening of asylum seekers for HIV and TB on their arrival into Britain but a Home Office spokesman refused compulsory testing as it might infringe the asylum seekers' human rights. The same Home Office official confirmed that being infected with HIV/AIDS was NOT grounds to refuse a person entry into Britain.

In December a report from Mr Rude Lubbers, the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees, that Britain was taking too many asylum seekers, coincided with a warning from Professor Roger Williams of the Institute of Hepatology at University College London that illegal immigration was threatening to double the cases of hepatitis B in Britain each year. The professor estimated that of the 92,000 people who applied for asylum in Britain last year, at least 6,000 were infected with the potentially fatal liver disease. Professor Williams also added that with the number of illegal immigrants into Britain being totally unknown the number of hepatitis B infections "is likely to double".

The threat from terrorism has also dramatically increased. Police have arrested sixteen North African suspects in the past month but almost 10,000 North Africans claimed asylum in the past few years. Although the vast majority were refused permission to stay here, only a few have ever been deported.

(right)
Special
Branch
detective,
DC Stephen
Oake

The rest are living undercover in Britain, perhaps just waiting to strike! Detective Constable Stephen Oake is probably not the first



British citizen to die at the hands of a bogus asylum seeker and he certainly will not be the last as long as this Labour Government has neither the capability nor the ideological will to rid Britain of all illegal immigrants, economic refugees and bogus asylum seekers.

If the terrorists don't get us then tuberculosis, AIDS or hepatitis will. Be warned!



The new Trojan horse: groups of asylum seekers entering Britain
— a major health risk if not medically screened on entry



NEWS AROUND BRITAIN

London

Blair Promises To Stop Boycott of Israeli Academics

The Prime Minister, Tony Blair, has promised Britain's Chief Rabbi that he would do "whatever it takes" to end the growing boycott of Israeli academic institutions and scholars. Blair invited the Chief Rabbi, Jonathan Sacks, to a private meeting in Downing Street following pressure from Britain's alternative government, the **Board of Deputies of British Jews**. A Downing Street spokesman confirmed that the meeting had taken place and that the Prime Minister was "appalled" by the boycott.

The boycott was started by two academics at the University of Manchester as a protest against Israeli atrocities in occupied Palestine and has been supported by over 700 fellow academics and the lecturer's trade union NATFHE.

While the Prime Minister contemplates how to end the boycott he needs also to consider the potential effect of a proposal being considered by the Trade Union Congress to impose trade sanctions against Israel. Britain's biggest union UNISON has called for a "complete boycott of Israeli goods and the cessation of arms sales until Israel vacates the occupied territories and grants the right of return to all Palestinians". The proposals have the support of the Communications Workers Union and the National Union of Journalists.

UNISON's Canadian counterpart CIC-Quebec, which represents workers in education, healthcare and social services, has also called for a boycott of Israeli products following a fact-finding visit to the West bank and Gaza.

National Front Holds Successful Remembrance Day March


An estimated 250 nationalists took part in the largest annual Remembrance Day marches organised by the National Front for several years. Leaving St James' Park at 2pm, the marchers were led by a colour party consisting of twenty flag bearers headed by the NF's national activities organiser, Terry Blackham. Hundreds of tourists and visitors to London applauded the marchers as they covered the mile or so to the Cenotaph. There, the two-minute silence was briefly disturbed by a small group of Anti-Nazi League activists who screamed abuse at those paying their respects to those who made the supreme sacrifice. Fortunately, the police intervened and two protesters were arrested.

(right) Young NF supporter at the National Front Remembrance Day parade in London



Dublin

Trinity College Bans David Irving



Student members of Trinity College's Historical Society in Dublin were forced to withdraw an invitation to the respected historian and author David Irving (pictured above). He was to address the society before the college's head of security refused to provide security for the meeting. The secretary of the college's Historical Society complained to the college authorities saying, "Our illusions about the commitment of this university to free speech have rather abruptly been shattered".




NEWS AROUND BRITAIN

Halifax

British National Party Wins Fifth Council Seat

The British National Party pushed Labour into third place as it gained its fifth council seat. The victory, in a by-election in the Mixenden ward of Calderdale, was achieved after a recount. The BNP candidate secured the seat with a majority of 28 votes. The successful candidate, **Adrian Marsden** (pictured right), a 42 year-old father of seven children, not only fought four other candidates, three from the major parties and one independent, but faced a campaign of hysterical media hostility in which one national newspaper went so far as to erroneously accuse Mr Marsden of being involved with the Combat 18 terror group. While Labour and Liberal Democrats made excuses for their defeat, a local voter told *League Sentinel*, "The BNP election teams were the only ones knocking on doors. They seemed to care about us. The Tories and Labour just take the voters for granted".



Stoke Council and one of its employees. Two major trade unions are targeted over the unjust cancellation of the membership of four members solely because of their membership of the BNP. These cases are expected to return large sums in the form of financial compensation.

The legal team is also investigating various aspects of the **Human Rights Act** with a view to using human

rights law and the **Representation of the Peoples Act** against the various extreme-leftwing groups that try to disrupt fair elections by the means of distributing smear leaflets.

The election results from Calderdale are as follows:

British National Party	679
Liberal Democrat	651
Labour	641
Conservative	214
Independent	142

Just three months ago, the BNP captured a council seat in Blackburn to add to the three seats won in Burnley earlier last year.

A new, but long overdue tactic of using the courts to challenge the harassment and victimisation of BNP members had been adopted by the BNP. Their newly formed legal team is currently processing twenty legal claims against various groups and organisations, including *The Sunday Mercury* newspaper concerning the malicious allegations against BNP candidate, Steve Batkin. In line for legal action for breach of contract are



"I had a loyal comrade, none better will you find"

Obituary

It was with great sadness that we learned of the recent death of our racial comrade

Maurice Forward

Maurice, who lived in the South of England, was a long-serving supporter of the League of St George and will be sadly missed.

"Marching with us in spirit in our ranks"

WHEN BEING ENGLISH CAUSED OFFENCE

Why on earth should a policeman be remotely interested in a poster displayed in a shop window? Answer: when the poster advertises the celebration of St George as the patron saint of Old England. It is obvious that the mindset of some sections of the British police has been influenced by edicts issued to them by politically correct busybodies, now dominating the committees of police authorities throughout the land. However, Warwickshire Police have excelled in this respect and exceeded all expectations with regard to establishing their credentials as being "inclusive" and concerned for all minorities ... except for the English, that is. Policemen on the beat in Warwickshire now decide interpretations of what is deemed racially offensive, no doubt with the MacPherson Report heavily in the back of their minds. But one recent case suggests their enthusiasm can be absurdly misplaced.

It was in April last year, a celebratory month buzzing with patriotic sentiment. Although a recent opinion poll suggested that a large proportion of the English population remains ignorant of the exact date of St George's Day, there are those who continue to define their national identity with a celebration on April 23rd. A newsagent in Market Place, Warwick, had no hesitation in accepting a poster advertising a St George's Day celebration, scheduled to be held at the Tavistock Inn in Leamington. Quite innocuous, you might say.

Not according to some roving plods with their heads reeling from a good dose of "community relations", a euphemism for post-Stephen Lawrence brainwashing. The poster sported the cross of St George, which the plods decided is racially offensive and should be taken down. The police acted quite unilaterally and arbitrarily without the impetus of a single complaint from a "minority" group.

The two patriotic gentlemen that originally placed the advertisement, Brian Hadland and George Jones, were to raise the issue with their friend, Robert Relf, soon after.

78 year-old Bob Relf has gained a certain notoriety with local authorities in recent years, notably with his local Thanet District Council in Kent, but it was to Leamington that he returned to throw down the gauntlet once more.

Anyone acquainted with Bob will know his penchant for colourful language or, more accurately, his prosaic style of verse. He took it upon himself to communicate his displeasure to none other than John Burbeck, the Chief Constable of Warwickshire Police. On Friday, May 3rd, 2002, a postcard was received at Warwick Police Station addressed to Burbeck, which read:

"Robert Relf, 99 Park Lane, Birchington, Kent CT9 0AU

Sir, I have just read with disgust concerning the Warwick newsagent who was ordered to take down a poster advertising a bash to celebrate a St George's Day festival. Apparently, it was considered to be offensive to the stinking wog bastards. What right have those bastards got to dictate what we English do in our country? If I had my way, the wog-loving bastards that ordered the poster to be taken down would be hung by their bollocks outside Warwick Police Station and left there to rot. England for the English, that's what I say".

Then on June 31st, 2002, an envelope containing a cartoon was sent by Bob to Inspector Di Vicary of Warwick Police Station. Inspector Di Vicary was the officer in charge of investigating the



Protest outside the court. From left to right: Brian Hadland, Ken Kitchen, Robert Relf and George Jones on November 27th, 2002

"incident" at the newsagent's shop. According to Melanie Thompson, a civilian enquiry officer, "The cartoon depicted a group of police officers sat at desks in a classroom environment. Each of the officers had in front of them what *appeared to be* a black person's bottom. The officers were licking these bottoms". Bob Relf was subsequently charged under the Malicious Communications Act to appear at Mid-Warwickshire Magistrates Court in November last year when he admitted two counts of sending "indecent or grossly offensive" postcards.

The prosecution counsel claimed the cards had caused offence to both police officers and civilian staff, to which Bob replied, "If police find that sort of thing offensive, they should not watch TV or travel on a school bus ... **when they would hear things far more offensive**".

Representing himself, Bob said he was angry after reading of schools and councils banning the English flag and that only by being "grossly offensive" could he draw attention to the matter. He told magistrates, "The flag of St George is our own flag. People died for it during the war. I don't consider the material I sent to be racist ... but it is offensive".

When Being English Caused Offence: continued


The court heard, "It wasn't racist ... I would have done the same to anyone who ordered the flag to be taken down".

The authorities believe that Bob is not entitled to hold onto the opinions he had defended all of his life, in the defence of a beleaguered English people who seem to have become increasingly disadvantaged in the land of their forebears. Thus he was pushed to the point of risking his liberty in order to make his view known. So much for a "free society". But we arrive once again at this question of what is deemed "offensive". The premeditated breaking of wind in a confined public place is, in the minds of all civilised people, an offence of supreme magnitude but has not, as yet, warranted incarceration or censure in a court of law.

According to Melanie Thompson's witness statement, "I found this cartoon [above right] and also the letter to be very offensive. Due to the nature of the cartoon, I gave the envelope to PC Murphy, who took this from me". According to Bob Relf, the Kent police from his home town of Margate, who interviewed him on May 19th, found the cartoon extremely amusing, probably because a senior police officer is the butt of the joke. Racial minorities are clearly not depicted nor targeted. What of the English whose flag and symbol of national identity is denied public display? Surely this is a far more grave offence than the lampooning of politically correct policemen anxious to please the neo-Marxists dominating police authorities as "civilian advisers" ... their awesome powers of appointment and dismissal determining the political agenda.

The derogatory slang word "wogs" is of Middle Eastern origin and although the Concise Oxford Dictionary has designated it as "origin unknown", it was originally an acronym for "Workers On Government Service" ... those indigenous civilians employed by the British Army in Egypt in an administrative capacity. Bob reserves his ire for what he perceives to be "wog-loving bastards" (the police) and further reserves for them the punishment of suspension by the scrotum. He informed the court that he believes the police are traitors in their own country and "in the past traitors have been hanged" ... which follows quite logically with only the position of strangulation revised for maximum inducement of pain.

In some countries, a violation of the national flag carries severe penalties. The Americans, for example, almost make a fetish of the folding of the Star-Spangled Banner while most of the rest of the world burns it with even more enthusiasm. If the cross of St George can be considered a "national" flag, seemingly replacing the Union Flag in the people's affections, then surely it deserves something more than being dismissed as offensive by those who are not English in the traditional sense. Surely it deserves the respect due to the national symbols of all other nations.



In Warwickshire this is seemingly no longer the case and we shall wait for April 23rd, 2003, to see whether it remains so.

Bob Relf, in the meantime, remains adamant in his refusal to pay the fine and court costs, the aim being to keep the issue in the public gaze if only through the reporting in local newspapers.

When the day has come that the English flag is treated with the same odium that has now long been attached to the ancient swastika, then there is something seriously wrong within the soul of our nation. The point is that no one from an ethnic minority complained about the cross of St George symbol on the poster. The reason is obvious ... its use was not threatening. It was no more threatening than the advertising of St David's, St Andrew's or St Patrick's Day celebrations.

The idea that the red cross of St George evokes the mediaeval crusades and is therefore offensive to Muslims is equally ludicrous. This is an invention of the tabloids. No Muslim has ever expressed such an opinion because the symbolism of the English flag is no more a provocation than the symbol of the Red Cross is a challenge to the Red Crescent. One wonders at the reaction of the police in Golders Green, North London, if confronted with the real possibility that the public display of the Star of David becomes offensive to Palestinians strolling by. I know of at least one "civilian adviser" to the police who would very quickly shy away from such a situation.

The incident in Warwick in April last year involved the intervention of police officers in a matter for which they are mentally ill-equipped. Anti-racist brainwashing has nothing to do with understanding ethnic minorities. It has all to do with being anti-English, which is another matter. Those police officers that advised a newsagent to remove an "offensive symbol" were over-reacting for the simple reason that an aura of fear has been introduced into the police forces throughout Britain ever since the MacPherson Report.

Institutionalised "racism" is now being replaced with institutionalised political madness.

The Revolutionary Stormtrooper: From the Freikorps to the Sturmabteilung



The title of “stormtrooper” was first used in the German trenches of the First World War. Special units had been formed for the purpose of storming and smashing enemy defences at their weakest points. These *Sturmtruppen* were the precursor of today’s special units, using speed, mobility and that extra special quality, an elitist élan. They were originally the idea of Hauptmann Erich Rohr and, in December 1915, an operational unit, *Sturm-Bataillon Rohr*, was named after him. The German stormtrooper was no ordinary soldier. He was always unmarried, under 25 years of age, supremely physically fit and imbued with a super-human confidence. His collar badge was the “death’s head”, to be re-adopted later as the *Totenkopf* by elite forces in the next great conflict.

Stormtroopers dispensed with the traditional ideas of Prussian discipline. For example, they dispensed with saluting and the usual deference shown to superior officers. Officers were addressed with the familiar “*du*” and ordinary ranks were issued the officers’ Mauser pistol. Their officers, in turn, received a blind obedience from men who believed themselves superior to other soldiers in the Imperial German Army.

His kit usually consisted of two full grenade sacks (made out of sand bags), a pistol or carbine and, quite often, an improvised sharpened spade. Only the German stormtrooper camouflaged his helmet, with each man painting his own individual pattern.

The successes of the stormtroopers were legendary. In the Michael Offensive of 1918, these special units were accredited with a breaking of the deadlock on the Western Front. They could not accept that the armistice of November 1918 meant they had lost after all their successes at the front. According to Franz Schauwecker, a stormtroop officer and mystic nationalist, “These men were living guns, with melinite muscles and tripod legs; their eyes narrowed to slits, thin blue horizons looking out toward men swarming forward between branches and tree trunks, red wine in their bellies, like tanked-up motors turned loose with no brakes to hold them”.

At the time of the November stab-in-the-back (*Dolchstoß*) the stormtroopers had marched back to the Reich with the rest of the Imperial Army, taking with them the ideals they had discovered as frontline soldiers. They had learned the doctrine of the “new man”, the result of years in the trenches. These men understood nothing but fighting ... for them, the armistice was not the end of the war because the war raged on within them.

The new Europe was to reveal itself for the first time in the form of a deep division between those who had been in the eye of the storm and the civilians at home, especially the politicians. In the trenches, the stormtroopers had relied on each other to such a degree that the old social barriers had broken down. One soldier said of the comradeship of the trenches, “... the most tender

human experience” that he had ever enjoyed. Thus echoed a British soldier who wrote of his comrades in his diary, “... a strength of pure affection which I have never felt for anyone else”.

The spiritual bond forged between these soldiers inevitably confronted and challenged the complexity of the world away from the trenches. This intensity of feeling and comradeship did not feature in the world outside and explains much of the lure of the fighting front. To share these experiences, to share dangers, belonged to a certain time and place.

When the stormtroopers returned to Germany many were shocked to find people living their lives as if nothing out of the ordinary had occurred. People did not understand what these soldiers had gone through while the soldiers, in turn, failed to articulate the depth of their experience. There was no comparison.

Large numbers of these ex-servicemen simply disappeared into the countryside taking their arms with them. The later restrictions of the Treaty of Versailles applied to the regular army, the *Reichswehr*, but did not then cover irregular formations known as the *Freikorps*, bands of freebooting, romantic nationalists doing what they were trained for.

Many of the recruits to the *Freikorps* were former stormtroopers, just previously given orders for demobilisation ... orders they were in no mood to carry out. The influence of the stormtrooper ethos was evident in the formation of these irregular units. For example, General George von Mörcker formed one of the first *Freikorps* units at the end of 1918 and modelled his squads on stormtroop principles ... specialists, all of them. Due to their semi-legal status, recruitment was by word of mouth with most of the officers placing their trust in NCOs they had known in crack stormtrooper units at the front. The rest were to follow.

What was left of the *Reichswehr* proved useless for countering the Red threat to the new republican government in Berlin. The *Freikorps* was to fulfil the role of national saviour.

The Revolutionary Stormtrooper: continued

For the average *Freikorps* volunteer, it was Nietzsche's dictum to "live dangerously" that summed up his attitude to life ... that and the comradeship. To live dangerously means to court resistance and opposition and to reject the old bourgeois morality. It means to reject the status quo and to engage in permanent conflict, constant activism. The sentiment of pity was regarded as bourgeois decadence and the "new man" had emerged from the trenches to smash that complacent bourgeois world as easily as the stormtrooper had smashed the enemy's weakest defences on the Western Front in 1918.

The revolutionary condition of post-1918 republican Germany gave the *Freikorps* a role to play. With the regular army reduced to minimal numbers, the government looked to the irregulars for



(Above) Men of the *Freikorps* Stosstrupp in 1919

salvation. The Socialist government of Friedrich Ebert was saved from the communist Spartacist revolt in Berlin in 1919 only by the volunteers of the *Freikorps*, an action that would repeat itself throughout Germany.

However, the original purpose of these volunteer units, their *raison d'être*, was the continuation of the war on the eastern frontiers. They saw themselves as the defenders of the borders of the Reich, defending Prussia and Silesia (*Preussen und Schlesien*) from the Poles and the Russians. They were the new Teutonic Knights. As one volunteer put it, "People told us that the war was over. That made us laugh. We ourselves are the war. Its flame burns strongly in us".

The internal suppression of Red revolution was not, therefore, their first priority. In February 1919, the Allies permitted the German army to engage Russian Bolshevik forces in the Baltic. Several *Freikorps* units, under the umbrella name of the Iron Division, successfully captured Riga in May 1919 using stormtrooper tactics of infiltration and fierce firepower. The Russians were pushed back so far that the Allies panicked at the thought of a German military success, which would have made a mockery of the armistice in the West.

The Allies ordered Ebert's government to retreat and to disband the *Freikorps* units ... and, further, to renounce any territorial claims in the region. This act of panic demonstrated an ignorance of the *Freikorps* spirit. The volunteers, many of them ex-stormtroopers, would not see another stab in the back and they remained in the Baltic until the end of 1919, when the British eventually forced an evacuation.

After that, the role of the *Freikorps* became a purely internal matter for Germany. This was not a role they embraced as willingly as when fighting Germany's external enemies.

Several *Freikorps* commanders had sided with conservative elements led by Graf von Lüttwitz and Wolfgang Kapp for the purpose of overthrowing the Socialist government before the deadline for the conditions of the Treaty of Versailles in April 1920. Their aim was to throw out those who were instrumental in signing the treaty. This was to go down in history as the Kapp Putsch.

It failed for several reasons. A General Strike organised by the Left paralysed Berlin and much of Germany. Kapp could not provide a coherent political programme with direction and, most

of all, the *Freikorps* soldiers were left with little to do. It was chaos.

The irony was that after the restoration of the Socialist government and the Kapp Putsch fading away, a Red army of 80,000 was forming in the Ruhr ready to challenge the Socialist government in the same way. The spearhead of the Kapp Putsch, the Ehrhardt Brigade, were now to serve the government they had earlier intended to overthrow.

This was perhaps the last great struggle of the Ehrhardt Brigade and the rest of the *Freikorps*. Their impact was

devastating. The suppression of the Red revolt was completely without mercy (the Reds had, themselves, been notoriously merciless) and no one was spared, reflecting, perhaps the pent-up frustration caused by months of idleness during the Kapp Putsch.

One volunteer wrote, "The enthusiasm was tremendous, unbelievable. Anyone who falls into our hands first gets the rifle butt and then is finished off with a bullet". After that the *Freikorps* were disbanded in April 1920.

Adolf Hitler had declared that National Socialism is "a doctrine of conflict". He had long articulated the thoughts and feelings of the front soldier ... he had been one himself. But it was to the stormtrooper legend that he appealed the most. He had railed against the November criminals, those who had stabbed the German soldier in the back in 1918, he preached a brotherhood of the front soldier, along with the honouring of heroes and martyrs, and an ideology based on conflict as liberation ... constant conflict and confrontation.

Many *Freikorps* volunteers found an ideological home within the early ranks of the NSDAP. Before, they were somewhat directionless, politically that is. The change of sides during the Ruhr crisis illustrated this very clearly. Hitler was to articulate their most profound and deepest feelings in a way that transformed the "stormtrooper" into the new man ... a political fighter heralding the dawn of a new era.

Soldier and author, Ernst Jünger, described this vitalism in 1922, "This was a whole new race, energy incarnate, charged with supreme energy. Supple bodies, lean and sinewy, striking features, stone eyes petrified in a thousand terrors beneath their helmets. These were conquerors ..."

© RHE

Flanders

Race Riots Erupt in Antwerp

The Flemish city of Antwerp was hit by two days and nights of rioting by North African and Turkish immigrants following the shooting of an immigrant by his Belgian neighbour.

The rioting was co-ordinated by members of an illegal Moroccan militia led by Abou Jahjah, an extremist who models himself on the black separatist leader Malcolm X. Abou Jahjah advocates that North African immigrants should be allowed to govern themselves without interference from the Belgian state. Abou Jahjah's most recent campaign was for separate schools for North Africans and he has accused the Antwerp authorities of "Flemish cultural terrorism". Antwerp is also a political stronghold of the Flemish nationalist party, *Vlaams Blok*.


The rioting started in the Moroccan quarter of the city, which houses some 40,000 North Africans. Immigrant youths hurled stones at police and left a trail of shattered shop windows and wrecked cars. Police made 128 arrests.



(above) The Vlaams Blok in action in Antwerp

Sharon War Crimes Trial Could Be Held In Absentia

The Belgian government is proposing a change in legislation which, if approved, could result in the Israeli Prime Minister Ariel Sharon being tried for war crimes in absentia. The case against Sharon revolved around his part in the massacre of 800 Palestinian women and children sheltering in the Lebanese Sabra and Shatila refugee camps in 1982. Survivors of the atrocities now living in Belgium brought the case. A Belgian court stopped the case against Sharon last June when judges ruled that Sharon could not be tried as he was not physically present in Belgium. This decision is awaiting appeal and thus the case remains "live" and could be re-opened if the proposals to change the law are accepted. Most of Belgium's politicians are expected to support the change including Prime Minister, Guy Verhofstadt, who said, "We want to sweep away impunity



when it comes to genocide and war crimes". The Belgian media are also supporting the proposals.

South Africa

The South African Broadcasting Complaints Commission has rejected a complaint by the South African Jewish Board of Deputies that a Muslim radio station had "trivialised the Holocaust".

The complaint centred on an interview with Yacoub Zaki, a respected Arab historian who commented, "I accept that one-million plus Jews died during the Second World war but I dispute the assertion that they were murdered, that they were killed by gassing. These people died like other people in the camps, from infectious diseases, particularly typhus", he said.

Australia

Labour MP Julia Irwin has accused Australia's "Jewish lobby" of being responsible for a "code of silence" forbidding parliamentary debate on the plight of the Palestinians under Israeli occupation.

Mrs Irwin raised a private members' motion in the Federal Parliament attacking Israel's occupation of the West Bank and Gaza Strip, but then faced tremendous pressure from Jewish MPs, including those from her own party, to withdraw the motion without it ever being debated. She also revealed that she had received an e-mail from a senior media commentator who warned, "You have taken on the most arrogant, cruel and powerful lobby in the country. You will be singled out for vilification and, if possible, political destruction".



Germany

German Newspaper Condemns Churchill as a War Criminal

A major daily newspaper, *Bild*, caused controversy when it condemned Winston Churchill for the indiscriminate bombing of the German civilian population during the Second World War.

The newspaper comments came when it was serialising a new book on the subject, *Der Brand* (The Fire: Germany Under Bombardment 1940-45). The author, **Jörg Friedrich**, claims that during the First World War Churchill was quoted saying, "Perhaps the next time round the way to do it will be to kill women, children and the civilian population".

Certainly Churchill put this policy into action during the Second World War with the destruction of Hamburg, Cologne and Dresden, killing almost 650,000 civilians.



(above) The remains of Dresden after the Allied terror raids

Following his attempted suicide he was given emergency surgery for wounds to his throat and severe head injuries but he was not to regain consciousness. Despite being put on a life-support system, Irv Rubin was eventually declared brain dead by Los Angeles County Hospital medical staff.



(right) Irv Rubin of the Jewish Defence League

Senior 'Holocaust Claims Commissioner' Resigns Over Expenses Inquiry

A leading member of the US-based **Holocaust Restitution Commission**, Neil Sher, resigned recently following an inquiry into allegations that he had received unauthorised reimbursements of travel expenses. The commission ordered Sher to repay almost \$137,000, which he had claimed for travel in 1999. Prior to his appointment to the commission Sher had been the federal government's chief "Nazi hunter" working at the Office of Special Investigations. During his time there he denounced dozens of German and East Europeans living in the US as "Nazis" and instigated their deportations. He also persuaded the US authorities to ban the Austrian president Kurt Waldheim from visiting the US because of his wartime activities. Following eleven years at the OSI he became the director of the American-Israel Public Affairs Committee.

The Holocaust Retribution Commission now faces an investigation itself over allegations that it has spent \$40 million on "operating expenses", whilst only paying out \$18 million to claimants.

United States

Jewish Terror Boss Commits Suicide

Jewish Defence League thug, **Irv Rubin**, successfully committed suicide by slitting his throat with a disposable razor and then jumping over a railing crashing twenty feet onto a concrete floor. Rubin was in custody in the Federal Detention Centre in Los Angeles where he was awaiting trial on terrorist charges. Rubin had been the leader of the militant Jewish group since 1985 and had been arrested more than forty times. The FBI admitted that they had been investigating the JDL for more than fifteen years.

Yet Another View of the Middle East Crisis

"If we go to war in the Near East, it is a commercial war and nothing else ... the world will again be plunged back into an immense catastrophe for commercial, money-collecting reasons". A recent warning or portend from a contemporary politician on events unfolding today? No, those were the words of Sir Oswald Mosley in a House of Commons debate in 1923. The debate concerned the presence of British forces in Iraq, threatened by the new Turkey of Kemal Attaturk. From his early career as a parliamentarian, Mosley's grasp of foreign affairs was such that he quickly understood the machinations of international finance and the real reasons why nations are forced to go to war. In another debate that year he was to comment on the French occupation of the Ruhr after a German default on reparations payments. His attitude then was one that marked out his views on British foreign policy for the rest of his political life. He said that if the League of Nations failed to restrain the French in the Ruhr then Britain should adopt a policy of "isolation" rather than risk the resumption of a Franco-German confrontation. He was always anti-war.

In the House of Commons debate in 1923 Mosley stated, "You may have some disorder, you may have some suffering, you may even have bloodshed in Iraq, but if we have to choose between two evils, it is better to risk disorder in Iraq than in a city like Manchester, and if you go on squandering the resources of this country ... then you are running some risk, if not a great risk, of a collapse of the finances of this country".

The arguments for non-intervention were based on a belief in the primacy of international institutions for solving problems ... in the 1920s it was the League of Nations. Mosley was all for giving the League a chance because there was no alternative other than isolation. In the 1920s, the crisis concerning Iraq was averted after the British, through the League of Nations, thwarted territorial claims by the Turkish Republic, with Iraq then moving towards full independence.

What became known as the Chanak crisis at the end of 1922, when Britain and France had "internationalised" the Straits of Constantinople in order to stop the Turks getting at Iraq, gave Mosley the ammunition he needed in those Commons debates: "I believe the 'freedom of the Straits' to be an entirely meaningless phrase ... In times of peace the Straits were always free. In time of war they will always be at the mercy of the strongest army in their immediate vicinity". The government, he claimed, was ready to plunge mankind back into the "holocaust of war".

In the Suez crisis of 1956, Mosley was equally consistent. He mocked the narrow British interests in keeping "international" control of the Suez Canal as the "lifeline of British Empire" after President Nasser of Egypt had nationalised it. This was a repeat of the "internationalising" of the Straits of Constantinople in the 1920s. The difference now was that British government had given away the Empire at the other end of the "lifeline" after fighting a brothers' war with Germany. How on earth could you have a lifeline to something that no longer existed?

This was the old imperialist thinking, years out of date as usual. The British Prime Minister in 1956, Sir Anthony Eden, likened Nasser to Hitler ... an obvious attempt to elicit sympathy by way of analogy but was then derided for this absurdity. Mosley pointed out that during most of the Second World War the Mediterranean was closed to most shipping and the Cape route was used instead ... even for the purposes of reaching the oilfields of Iran.

When Eden claimed the Suez Canal to be a "life and death" interest of Britain he was living in an age gone by. It was Napoleon Bonaparte who first acknowledged that the Middle East was the key to the world. This was so in his age and before



A view of the world: Sir Oswald Mosley discusses world affairs with former South African Defence Minister Oswald Pirow in 1948

modern technology changed the face of politics and warfare.

The claim that the Suez Canal was a lifeline to Empire was a bogus argument used by people hypnotised by the past and still trying to behave like imperialists with gunboats up the Nile.

Mosley rounded on them: "They have failed to devise a united European policy and they have quarrelled with the Arabs over something that did not matter. Those of the [Islamic] faith are our natural friends and allies ... it was a monumental folly to discard that friendship for the illusions of a great policy. **There is but one true policy in the Middle East; the union of all the European powers in strength and solidarity on the left flank of Russia ... and the closest friendship with the sister Arab peoples whose interest is identical**" (*December 1st, 1956*).

So we might now ask, what would be Mosley's position today with the people of Iraq now facing the might of the United States army, navy and air force?

His view of America during the Suez crisis was expressed as: "What are the objectives and priorities of America? Can anyone answer that question? Where does America mean to fight and what for? Until these questions are answered clearly we all run the risk of **muddling into war for no purpose**". He wrote that in July 1953 ... as relevant today, perhaps more so. Korea and Vietnam came later. Will they ever learn? Without any doubt, Mosley's European policy would have maintained a friendship with the Arabs and lessened the risk of war. But a united Europe must now challenge the hegemony of the United States. © RHE

HANG THESE PERVERTS

The Roman Catholic Church has a long history of secrecy and has always regarded itself as a law unto itself. Perhaps the doctrine of Papal infallibility has some bearing on this behaviour but it is a fact that from the confession box to the political intrigues of the Curia there is a closed world that resents outsiders peering in. An unpalatable aspect of the priesthood, long hidden from the gaze and knowledge of decent people, has revealed itself thanks mainly to the persistent courage of those abused as children, along with the determination of some sections of the press.

Unfortunately, many are still reluctant to criticise the hierarchy of the Roman Catholic Church, believing it to represent all that is Godly and inspired by a divine authority. The "outing" of pederast priests has even been dismissed as an isolated aberration but the enormity of the number of cases suggests that these priestly child-abusers represent the tip of the iceberg. Is there something about the lifestyle of an allegedly celibate priest that would incline him towards the perversion of child abuse? ... because, after all, the Word of God they claim to subscribe to, states clearly, "It is not good for man to live alone".


The massive cover-ups by those in a higher position do suggest that pederasty is an accepted part of the priestly culture, that celibacy is a hard cross to bear and sexual frustration can easily spill over as a result. The special privileges of priests within the community, many treated as demigods, permit all sorts of opportunities for sexual exploitation. These are facts unpalatable to many of the faithful ... but facts they remain.

The modern liberal view of paedophilia is that it is an illness and, with the Roman Catholic priesthood, simply "inappropriate behaviour" if found out. This view is responsible for the perpetuation of this appalling vice as sex offenders avoid real punishment and, instead, become sheltered and protected by both secular and ecclesiastical authority.

The Boston priestly sex scandal sparked the controversy in the Roman Catholic Church but the ensuing public debate was not entirely concerned with the sexual abuse of young boys ... the reaction of the Vatican to this scandal was also put under scrutiny.

Roman Catholic doctrine states quite clearly that homosexuality is not sinful but only the sexual act itself. That is on the understanding that the violation of young boys is a homosexual act. On the other hand, the term "homosexuality" implies a sexual act, suggesting a serious misunderstanding of the issue. The church takes the view that so long as a homosexual priest remains celibate then he does not transgress Vatican policy and is not barred from being ordained.

What is so fantastic is that for an institution that by its very nature is based on celibacy, that is, a state of asexuality, it is at



the very centre of a sex scandal that pervades all societies throughout the Western world.

The Boston sex scandal did reveal that between 20 and 50 per cent of Roman Catholic priests in the United States are homosexual. The reason, it is claimed, is that hundreds of thousands of sexually healthy priests have left the priesthood for married life. What is left obviously constitutes the rump of a formidable threat to the safety of young boys ... as the majority of the abused

have turned out to be.

The instinctive reaction of the Papacy was to attempt an initial cover-up after the fingers were first pointed at the perverts, most of them elderly and seasoned shepherds of their unwitting flocks, lambs ready for the slaughter. They wanted to ignore the issue, just as for years knowing bishops had simply shunted errant priests from parish to parish, leaving a trail of mental-scarring and misery. But the church is now no longer able to avoid the issue and has reacted with a few tardy public apologies and the inevitable liturgical prayers. All this by the way of references to "the evil in the church" without further elaboration, as if unable to actually name the evil itself.

This glibness suggests a feeling for the enormity of the shame or, perhaps, an unwillingness to fully acknowledge the scale of the crime. Take your choice.

All the indicators suggest it is celibacy that causes paedophilia or pederasty. Until a young man experiences sexual satiation those perverted demons will lurk inside him like a ticking time bomb. In most cultures, the progression towards adulthood involves "losing one's cherry". That, alone, is understood to mean attainment to manhood. Can a priest be properly regarded as "a man" in that respect? It is doubtful.

The priestly culture is the antithesis of most traditional ways of life around the world. The practice of celibacy has been bound up in a mystique that gave the priesthood a form of otherness. That otherness, that mystique, is now understood to mean something else, something more sinister, as the scale of the child sex abuse scandals unfolded before the entire world.

These are filthy crimes compounded by the special role of the priest and the punishment should fit the crime. © RHE

How a Jewish Turd Heralds the Messiah

That chamber of horrors, the Israeli Knesset, never fails to surprise and frequently repels. Zionist zealotry has thrown up some of the most repulsive people that are, for all their claims of religiosity, a moral obscenity by any normal person's standards. One of these is Rabbi Ovadia Yosef, allegedly the spiritual leader of the Shas Party, which currently holds 17 of the 120 seats in the Knesset. Curiously, Rabbi Yosef was born in Iraq and, as such, holds views on Arabs that make your flesh creep. He is a Sephardic Jew, those Jews of North Africa and the Near East who for long played second-fiddler to the Ashkenazim from Eastern and Central Europe.

The Shas Party was formed in the early 1970s as a response to this Ashkenazi dominance in Israeli politics. "Shas" is short for *Shomrei Torah Sephardim*, meaning Sephardi Torah Guardians and its spiritual leader is Rabbi Ovadia Yosef (pictured right) elected to the Knesset in 1984. In the 1999 election, Shas won 17 seats in the Knesset; making it the third largest elected party and a troublesome partner in future coalitions. In fact, the Shas Party is known as the "kingmaker" of Israeli coalition governments. That is what makes Ovadia Yosef so dangerous. The Rabbi makes his intolerant theocratic views known to the entire world at regular Saturday sermons, views that perhaps should have been left behind in a bygone age of darkness, superstition and mumbo-jumbo.

That these views now command a large following among working class Jews and many Sephardim is a reflection on the direction many Israelis are now choosing.



To pick or not to pick: Henry Kissinger forgets to consult his rabbi

He is best known for stating a few years ago, "The victims of the Holocaust, all six million Jews, all those poor people who were lost at the hands of those evil doers, the Nazis, were reincarnations of earlier souls who sinned time and again and did all sorts of things that should not have been done, and were reincarnated so that things could be set right". In other words, all those who died in the Holocaust were sinners and deserved what they got. All this was to a jam-packed synagogue in Jerusalem and many more listeners to the Shas radio station.

But it is his regular pronouncements on the Palestinian Arabs that get Abie and Solly rocking in the aisles on the *Shabbat* ... for the Rabbi is in no doubt that God should be called upon to exterminate all Arabs.

The Israeli newspaper, *Maariv*, reported him as saying to a synagogue congregation, "It is forbidden to be merciful to

them ... with relish, annihilate them. Evil ones, damnable ones". He went on further, "May the Holy Name visit retribution on the Arabs' heads and cause their seed to be lost, and annihilate them, and cause them to be cast from the world".

Rabbi Yosef, in his capacity as a "holy man", has had deep and meaningful conversations with the Messiah who he

believes is about to make his long-awaited appearance and hand the entire world on a plate to the Jews. All of this was in the form of a dream. In this dream there are only Jews left in Jerusalem and everyone studies the Torah. The Messiah also informed the pious Rabbi that he was to make an appearance very soon. You could almost hear Brian's mum saying, "He is not the Messiah ... he is a very naughty boy".

The ultra-Orthodox also seem to be completely taken in by Rabbi Yosef's amazing claim that the timing of the Messiah's arrival can be determined by the nature and frequency of the Rabbi's bowel movements. For this he goes into some uncomfortable detail, like **the consistency and texture of his turds**. For example, on Shas Radio he explains, "Solid stools indicated the strength of Ariel Sharon's policies but lately the stools have had a softer texture, indicating Sharon's weakness and the possible arrival of the Messiah in this time of crisis".


As a former Sephardic chief rabbi, Yosef can pronounce on all matters of Jewish law. Another Israeli newspaper, *Yediot Ahronot*, reported that the Rabbi proclaimed that nose picking is forbidden because tiny hairs inside the nostrils might also be plucked out. *Oy gevalt!* I should be so unecclesiastical!

The Shas Party, as you would guess, wants to see a Jewish State "in every way" and that means all the policies of the Israeli government should be determined by strict Jewish law. But what disturbs most rational people is the doctrine of zealotry that many ultra-Orthodox rabbis have been promoting.

In the Talmud it states that God forgave the Jewish zealot, Pinchas Ben-Elazar, for killing Zimri for prostitution ... after the elders had condemned him for the murder. But Pinchas was "zealous for my sake among them", thus beginning that tradition of zealot murder sanctioned by God that can treat all "enemies of Israel" as consigned to the slaughter by divine authority.

When Rabbi Yosef calls for the annihilation of all Arabs he is responding to ancient Jewish doctrine.

© RHE



League Classified

Advertisements are published as a service to our readers. We do not necessarily agree with their contents, or always have knowledge of the product, service or organisation advertised.

Patriotic Publications

'*Broadsword*' is the official quarterly magazine of the British Movement. For a sample copy and free BM information pack, including their NS books and regalia catalogue, please send a donation to:

PO Box 6, Heckmondwike, West Yorkshire WF16 OXF

'*The Flame*' is the official newspaper of the National Front. For a sample copy please send a donation and a large SAE to:

**The National Front, PO Box 279, Beckenham, Kent
BR3 4ZT**

'*GOTHIC RIPPLES*' - an occasional report by Colin Jordan. Mr Jordan has long been a thorn in the side of those who wish to destroy our race and nation and his report is a must for all nationalists. Four issues cost just £3 (UK only). Write to:


**Gothic Ripples, Thorgarth, Greenhow Hill, Harrogate
HG3 5JQ**

COMBAT.

The Journal of the
1972 - 30th Anniversary - 2002



COMBAT is of serious interest to those who support the loyalist cause of Ulster. The Ulster Volunteer Force produces this glossy, full colour, 16-page magazine. Only £15 for twelve issues. Write to: The Editor, COMBAT, 224 Shankill Road, Belfast BT13 2BJ



Blood & Honour - 'We Exist To Resist'

UK subscription for four issues just £10, Europe £12, the rest of the world £14.

From: B&H, BM Box 6826, London WC1N 3XX

Listen to National Socialist Radio!

Send International Reply Coupon for broadcast details

to **NATIONAL VANGUARD BOOKS,**

PO Box 330, Hillsboro WV24946, USA

Or visit these websites: www.natvan.com or

www.natall.com

WEAR IT WITH PRIDE

Full colour 'flash and circle' badges



These badges are faithful replicas of those worn by Mosley's Blackshirts and the post-war Union Movement. They are in the original red, white and blue colours.

Price just £2.30 including postage from...

**STEVEN BOOKS, PO Box 716,
Canterbury, Kent CT1 2GG**

ORDER TODAY... then read the history



of Mosley's Blackshirt legions, with more than fifty photos from our archives.


Printed to the highest possible standard with a laminated cover, this publication is yours at the price of £6.50 ... including postage and packaging.

Robert Edwards, a former member of Mosley's Union Movement, has carefully researched the history of British fascism in the inter-war years and has produced a book described by one major patriotic distributor as "well-written and informative". This book, "Sir Oswald Mosley and the British Union of Fascists" [*a pictorial history of the Blackshirt movement*], examines the major events of the BUF with precision and directness.

Produced in quality A4 format with a laminated cover, packed with photos complementing the riveting text, this book is great value and stands as an example of what can be achieved.

**Now available at last from
Steven Books**

PO Box 716, Canterbury, Kent CT11 2GG



Steven Books also carries a wide range of books of political and cultural interest, many rare and recently reprinted. If you are a student of modern history, or interested in the origins of our European civilisation, then we may have exactly what you are looking for. Books on the Second World War, National Socialism and fascism around the world, political theory, globalism and the New World Order, international finance and the "hidden hand", all are our speciality. Our supplement on the works of Sir Oswald Mosley and his key supporters is extensive and an important source for all students.

For a free price list you need only send a large S.A.E. to:
Steven Books, PO Box 716, Canterbury, Kent CT11 2GG